

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,023	06/24/2003	Marc Weydert	DN2002105	2594
27280 7	590 12/14/2005		EXAM	INER
THE GOODY	YEAR TIRE & RUBI	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
INTELLECTU	IAL PROPERTY DEPA			
1144 EAST MARKET STREET AKRON, OH 44316-0001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	•

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/603,023	WEYDERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William K. Cheung	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	<u>October 2005</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12, and 16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Amarkan and N					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5)	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Request for Continued Examination**

- 1. The request filed on October 25, 2005 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/603,023 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. In view of amendment filed October 3, 2005, claim 14 has been cancelled. Claims 1-12, and 16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,023 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corvasce et al. (U.S Patent 5,672,639) in view of Huynh-Tran et al. (US 2003/0152758) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 6 of office action of July 1, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed October 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the newly added "tread" feature of claim 1, Corvasce et al. (col. 21-23, claims 47-86 clearly disclose using the disclosed tire having a tread comprising the rubber composition of Corvasce et al. Applicants argue that there is insufficient motivation for combining the prior art of Corvasce et al. and Huynh-Tran et al. because Corvasce et al. is directed to a composition comprising starch/synthetic plasticizer. However, the examiner disagrees. Since there is no negative teaching in Corvasce et al. to teach one of ordinary skill in art not to incorporate the maleinized polybutadiene teachings of Huynh-Tran into Corvasce et al., the motivation set forth in the office action of July 1, 2005 is proper because

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571)

272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to

2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph. D

Primary Examiner

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 11, 2005